

March 20, 2018
FILED
WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT
SUPREME COURT
1100 Washington Blvd. 9929
STATE OF WASHINGTON 929

3/20/2018 12:56 PM
BY SUSAN L. CARLSON
letter of protest for lack of enforcement on the rules of professional conduct.

CLERK
WORTHINGTON V. WESTNET No. 953309

Hello Supreme Court Justices,

It seems the case was not remanded to consider the evidence in discovery as much as it was sent down to allow lone George and the Kitsap County Prosecutor's office to be more creative in stretching the rules of professional conduct and to offer another chance at an unpublished opinion burial. It seems the courts so far were more interested in avoiding the thousands of pages of discovery, rather than make a meaningful determination of the pertinent discovery shown to the courts.

lone George said on the record that WestNET did not do seizure forfeitures. Later, when the evidence of WestNET seizure forfeiture was presented to the court, that statement to the Appellate courts was proven to be a bald faced misrepresentation. No disciplinary action was ever taken by the WSBA after the issue was raised in a bar complaint. The issue was also brought up to the trial court and the Supreme Court. No court sanctions were ever imposed despite requests for action under CR 11 by the plaintiff. The courts were unfazed by the position switch and went right along.

An RPC 5.1 should have been filed to the Supreme Court. In fact, both Appellate Courts should have requested them Sua Sponte. Only an RPC 3.3 was filed with the trial court. The prosecutor is the elected and the deputy prosecutors are legally extensions of the elected. Two RPC 5.1 should have been filed in this case rather than a sole RPC 3.3.

lone George also stated that the Kitsap County Prosecutor could not represent WestNET based on the language of the interlocal agreement. Now, on the record are arguments showing George has changed that position also. Now, Kitsap County can not only represent WestNET based on the interlocal agreement, under a new rendition WestNET is now Kitsap County...

The trial court not only bought the first misrepresentation, they switched gears right along with lone George and based its ruling on the position change that was supposed to be barred by the doctrines of judicial estoppel.

George also argued to the COA II that two previous cases could not be consolidated because the issues and parties were different. Later at the trial court and on appeal, George changed that argument to Worthington being collaterally estopped by the same case she earlier had argued to a COA II panel had different issues and parties. The courts again marched right along in lock step to that position change.

Then there are the hundreds of checks sent to WestNET. There were years of court appearances by WestNET. The JIS system collecting money for WestNET. All explained with a dubious "scriveners error" argument. That was an easy sale to the court systems. Too easy.

The highest level of the courts in Washington is more than likely about to send a clear message. Attorneys representing lead attorneys, that are defending governments, will be allowed to violate the rules of professional conduct to protect a government entity from the laws of Washington State.


This case could never be won by the government entity involved. It could only be given charitable rulings and an unpublished opinion burial.

The PRA was twice requested to be applied to the Agency and Board WestNET. Both times the three levels of the court system in Washington State allowed the first Board and Agency in Washington State history to escape the plain meaning of the PRA statutes invoked, which required all agencies and board be subject to the PRA. Not only that, the Washington courts allowed the integrity of the entire court system and Washington State rule of law to be soiled by obvious misrepresentations and deceit and may be forever tarnished as a bad seed protection service. All while collecting cash and check payments to WestNET and keeping track of the transactions dating back to 2001. For the record that is the elected prosecutor of Kitsap County stating WestNET does not legally exist , while it shows up in court and claims to be WestNET, while it requests the courts to award restitution to WestNET, all at the same time...and getting away with it which is unconscionable.

Worthington was sanctioned for not giving up, while lone George and the elected prosecutors were given a free pass by the WSBA and the three levels of Washington State court, all giving tacit approval to the many ethics violations committed while representing the elected prosecutor of Kitsap County during the briefing and testimony in this case.

It is now apparent elected prosecutors may never answer for their past conduct in this case. Any other lead attorney would have been sanctioned, reprimanded or both for the same conduct committed by Kitsap County's lone George. To that end I write this formal letter of protest.

Thank you



John Worthington
4500 SE 2ND PL
Renton WA. 98059
Cc lone George

JOHN WORTHINGTON - FILING PRO SE

March 20, 2018 - 12:56 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court
Appellate Court Case Number: 95330-9
Appellate Court Case Title: John Worthington v. WestNet
Superior Court Case Number: 11-2-02698-3

The following documents have been uploaded:

- 953309_Letters_Memos_20180320125555SC198417_0370.pdf
This File Contains:
Letters/Memos - Comments
The Original File Name was Letter to Supreme Court.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- igeorge@co.kitsap.wa.us
- joe@joethomas.org
- thelittlewho@hotmail.com

Comments:

Letter of Protest

Sender Name: John Worthington - Email: Whodalooboboo@gmail.com
Address:
4500 se 2nd pl
Renton, WA, 98059
Phone: (425) 917-2235

Note: The Filing Id is 20180320125555SC198417